arXiv:1411.6760v4 [physics.flu-dyn] 9 Jul 2015

A variational formulation for dissipative fluids with interfaces in an inhomogeneous temperature field

Hiroki Fukagawa[1,2,3,*], Chun Liu[2], and Takeshi Tsuji[1]

July 10, 2015

[1]International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research (I2CNER), Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
[2]Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
[3]School of Fundamental Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

Abstract

We derive the equations governing the motion of fluids with interfaces in an inhomogeneous temperature filed, by employing a variational principle. Generally, the Lagrangian of a fluid is given by the kinetic energy density minus the internal energy density. The dynamics also obeys the equation of entropy. Then the necessary condition for minimizing an action with subject to the constraint of entropy yields the equation of motion. In this way, this method provides the equations of a fluid when the kinetic and internal energies and the equation of entropy are given. However, it is sometimes to know the proper equation of entropy. Our main purpose of this article is to determine it by using the three requirements, which are a generalization of Noether's Theorem, the second law of thermodynamics, and well-posedness. To illustrate this approach, we investigate several phenomena in an inhomogeneous temperature field. In the case of vaporization, diffusion and the rotation of a chiral liquid crystals, we clarify the cross effects between the entropy flux and these phenomena via the internal energy.

1 Introduction

The dynamics of a fluid can be divided into a kinetic part and a thermodynamics part. The kinetic part is characterized by the equations of the conservation laws for mass, energy, momentum, and angular momentum. On the other hand, the thermodynamics part obeys the second law of thermodynamics, which states that the total entropy of a closed system is always increasing. The equations of motion and the equation of entropy should be consistent with these conservation laws and the second law of thermodynamics. However, it is sometimes difficult to know the both proper equations for fluids with heat transfer, such as two-phase flows, two-component fluids, and liquid crystals. Thus we need a procedure for deriving these equations systematically.

On the assumption of constant temperature and low kinetic energy, the total Helmholtz free energy of a closed isolated system tends to decrease because of the conservation law of total energy and the second law of thermodynamics[1]. Various models based on the Helmholtz free energy cannot be applied to the fluids in an inhomogeneous temperature field by themselves, in spite of widely used in the fields of complex fluids[2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], Then we have to use heuristic methods to combine thermodynamics with these models in order to apply to these phenomena[8, 9].

*fukagawa.hiroki.609@m.kyushu-u.ac.jp

In this article, we propose a new formulation without using the Helmholtz free energy and the heuristic methods. Originally, the physical system without thermodynamics can be expressed by the variational principle in analytical dynamics. This principle states that the realized motion minimizes an functional, which is called an action in physics. In our previous works and related work[10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], the equations of motion for complex fluids without interfaces in an inhomogeneous temperature field are derived from this variational principle with the aid of the constraint of entropy. However, it is sometimes difficult to know it, especially in the case of the fluids with interfaces. The main purpose of this article is to obtain the proper constraint of entropy. This article is organized as follows. We review the optimized control theory, which is considered as the generalization of the variational principle used in physics in Sec. 2. We explain the basic concept of our new method in Sec. 3. We apply it to the realistic fluid, such as a Newtonian fluid, and various fluids with interfaces in Sec. 4. We summarize our formulation in Sec. 5.

2 Optimal control theory

Here, we give a short review of the optimal control theory of a nonholonomic system[17]. The degree of freedom is defined as the number of the state variables needed to express the dynamics of a system at least. Let $\boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, \cdots q_n)$ be state variables except entropy s. The dynamics is described as a trajectory in the configuration space $(\boldsymbol{q}, s, t)$, and restricted by the constraint,

$$T ds + f_i dq_i + Q dt = 0, \tag{1}$$

where T, $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_1, \cdots f_n)$, and Q are coefficients. Here we use d for the exterior derivative. The constraint (1) is a nonholonomic constraint, i.e., cannot be expressed as a function: $U(\boldsymbol{q}, s, t) = 0$, because the entropy s cannot determined only by other variables $\boldsymbol{q}$ and time t. In terms of optimized control theory, the physical system with dissipation is considered as a nonholonomic system, and the equation of the motion is regard as a resultant equation of the optimized control[18, 19, 17], which minimizes a value functional (an action) with subject to Eq. (1). The time evolution of $\boldsymbol{q}$ is given by

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{dt} = F(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{u}). \tag{2}$$

Here the vector $\boldsymbol{u}$ is called control parameter because the function $F(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{u})$ determines the dynamics. In control theory, $\int_{t_{\rm init}}^{t_{\rm fin}} dt\ L(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{u}, s)$ is called evaluation functional. By the method of undetermined multipliers, the functional to be minimized is

$$I[\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{u}, s] \equiv \int_{t_{\rm init}}^{t_{\rm fin}} dt\ \tilde{L}, \tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{L}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} &\equiv L(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{u}, s) + \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \left(\frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{dt} - F(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{u}) \right) \\ &= -\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{u}, s) + \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{dt}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the aid of undetermined multipliers $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$. We define $\tilde{H}$ as $\tilde{H} \equiv -L + \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}$. The necessary condition of minimizing the action (3) with subject to Eq. (1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &-\left(\frac{dp_i}{dt} + \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q_i} - f_i \right) dq_i + \left(\frac{dq_i}{dt} - \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p_i} \right) dp_i \\ &- \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial u_i} du_i - \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial s} - T \right) ds = \boldsymbol{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We show the details in App. A. Without loss of generality, we can define

$$T \equiv -\frac{\partial L}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial s}, \tag{6}$$

and obtain

$$0 \approx \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial u_i}, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} \approx \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p_i}, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} \approx -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q_i} + f_i. \tag{9}$$

Here $\approx$ denotes weak equality, i.e., the left-hand side (lhs) equals the right-hand side (rhs) when the constraint (1) is satisfied. Let H be the Hamiltonian defined by

$$H(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},s) \equiv \tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},s),s) \tag{10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},s)$ satisfies Eq. (7). Finally, we have

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{1}{T}\left(f_i\frac{dq_i}{dt}+Q\right), \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}+f_i. \tag{13}$$

3 A proposed theory

Let us consider a physical system with dissipation, which can be considered as a nonholonomical system. Physical systems often satisfy several symmetries such as the Galilean symmetry, the translation symmetries in time and space, and the rotational symmetry. Noether's theorem connects the symmetries and the balance equations. We generalize it to the nonholonomic system in Sec. 3.1. Next, we derive the constraint of entropy from the generalized Noether's theorem with the aid of the second law of thermodynamics in Sec. 3.2, and give a simple example in Sec. 3.3.

3.1 Symmetries in a physical system

We use $\boldsymbol{r} \equiv (\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},s)$ to simplify the notation. Let us consider the infinitesimal transformation:

$$\boldsymbol{r}' = \boldsymbol{r}+\alpha\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{r}}, \tag{14}$$

$$t' = t+\alpha g_t, \tag{15}$$

where α is an infinitesimal constant. The transformation (14) and (15) defines symmetries of a system, if it moves an optimized trajectory $\boldsymbol{r}^*(t)$ to other optimized trajectories $\boldsymbol{r}'^*(t')$ of a nonholonomic system. We write $\boldsymbol{X}_H = \frac{dq_i}{dt}\partial_{q_i}+\frac{dp_i}{dt}\partial_{p_i}+\frac{ds}{dt}\partial_s$ for the vector field satisfying Eqs. (11)–(13). The necessary and sufficient condition condition for a symmetry is condition is that there is a vector field $\boldsymbol{X}_G = g_{q_i}\partial_{q_i}+g_{p_i}\partial_{p_i}+g_{s_i}\partial_s$ and a function G such that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{0} = &\left\{g_{p_i}-g_t\left(-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}+f\right)\right\}dq_i-\left(g_{q_i}-g_t\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\right)dp_i\\ &-(\boldsymbol{X}_G H+g_t Q)dt+dG.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

See the derivation in App. B. Bellow, we give some examples of $\boldsymbol{X}_G$ and G. Here and below, we assume that the Hamiltonian $H(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},s)$ and the momentum map $G(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},s)$ does not depend on time explicitly. Provided $g_t = 0$, $g_{q_i} = \partial G(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p})/\partial p_i$, and $g_{p_i} = -\partial G(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p})/\partial q_i$, Eq. (16) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{0} = -(\boldsymbol{X}_G H)dt, \tag{17}$$

and yields

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \boldsymbol{X}_G H\\ &= -\frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}+g_s\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}\\ &= -\frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}-f_i\right)\\ &= -\frac{dG}{dt},\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

if $\boldsymbol{X}_G$ satisfies

$$Tg_s+f_i g_{q_i}+Qg_t = 0. \tag{19}$$

A example of this symmetry is the space translation of a physical system: $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{q}} = (1,\cdots,1)$, $g_s = g_t = 0$, and $G = \sum_i p_i$. The equations (18) and (19) show that the total momentum $G = \sum_i p_i$ is conserved if we have

$$\sum_i f_i = 0. \tag{20}$$

Next, let us consider the case of $\boldsymbol{X}_G = \boldsymbol{X}_H$, $g_t = (1-\gamma)\partial_t$ and $G = \gamma H$, where γ is a real constant number. This translation denotes a time translation. The equation (16) yields

$$\frac{dH}{dt}+Q = 0. \tag{21}$$

with the aid of Eqs. (12) and (13). We can interpret Eqs. (21) as the energy conservation law, where H and Q are the total energy and outflow of heat,

respectively. As seen in this section, Eq. (16) is a generalization of Noether's theorem. If a nonholonomic system has a symmetry, i.e, Eq. (16) is valid on a transformation, we have a corresponding balance Eqs. (18) and (21).

3.2 Second law of thermodynamics

Let us consider the environment. The constraint of entropy S in environment is given by

$$T_E dS + Q_E = 0, \tag{22}$$

and then the energy conservation law is

$$\frac{dH}{dt} + Q + Q_E = 0, \tag{23}$$

instead of Eq. (21). By the definition of isolated system, the total energy including environment H is constant. It yields $Q = -Q_E$ from Eq. (23). Here, Q and Q_E express heat flow. The second law of thermodynamics, which states that the total entropy of isolated system increases, $\frac{d(s+S)}{dt} = \Theta > 0$. From Eqs. (1) and (22), the dissipative function denoting the entropy production per unit time is $\Theta \equiv -\frac{1}{T}\left(\boldsymbol{f} \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{dt}\right) + Q\left(\frac{1}{T_E} - \frac{1}{T}\right)$. Remind that $d\boldsymbol{q}/dt$ is determined by $\boldsymbol{u}$ from Eq. (2), the dissipative function Θ is a function of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = (\boldsymbol{u}, (1/T_E - 1/T))$. Low degree approximation of $\Theta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})$ is given in the quadratic form:

$$\Theta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^t M \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \geq 0, \tag{24}$$

where M is symmetric coefficient matrix[20, 21, 22, 23]. Note that this symmetry yields Onsager reciprocal relations[24]. Generally, we can give Θ by

$$\Theta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = \int_0^{\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}} \boldsymbol{w}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}') \cdot d\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}' > 0, \tag{25}$$

where $\boldsymbol{w}$ is a function of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}'$, because there is no entropy production in a equilibrium state, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = 0$.

3.3 A simple dissipative system

Let us consider a damped coupled oscillators in a heat bath as an example of the dissipative system in Sec. 3.2. The two oscillators are coupled via a purely viscous damper and purely elastic spring connected in parallel as shown in Fig. 1. We write q_1 and q_2 for the position of each oscillator, and s for the entropy of dashpot. We give the Lagrangian (4) by



Figure 1: A simple example of dissipative systems.

$$\frac{m_i}{2}u_i^2 - \left(\frac{k}{2}(q_1 - q_2)^2 + \epsilon(s) + E(S)\right) + p_i\left(\frac{dq_i}{dt} - u_i\right), \tag{26}$$

where m_i is the mass of each oscillator, k is the spring constant, and ϵ and E are respectively the internal energies of the dashpot and the environment. The constraints of entropy are given by Eqs. (1) and (22). This system has the translation symmetries in space and time. From Eq. (20), f_i is given by $f_1 = -f_2$. Ignoring the cross terms between $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $(1/T_E - 1/T)$ in Eq. (24), we find that f_i is the frictional forces in dashpot exerted on the each oscillator, $f_1 = -f_2 = -\xi(u_1 - u_2)$ where ξ is positive, and heat flow Q satisfies

$$Q = \lambda\left(\frac{1}{T_E} - \frac{1}{T}\right), \tag{27}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is thermal coefficient. The equation (27) shows that heat flows from high temperature to low temperature. In this way, we can determine the coefficients of the constraints of entropy, (1) and (22) by the symmetries and the second law of thermodynamics without knowing the exact form of Lagrangian.

In addition, the Lagrangian (26) also satisfies Galilean symmetry if m_i is constant. The Galilean transformation is given by $\boldsymbol{g_q} = t$, $g_{p_i} = m_i$, and $g_s = g_t = 0$. The moment map $G = \sum_i (tp_i - m_i q_i)$ is conserved.

4 Applications to fluids

We apply the theory in the previous section to the realistic fluids in an inhomogeneous temperature field. We focus on the equation of entropy,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho s) = \Theta - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}. \tag{28}$$

Here ρ, s, Θ, and $\boldsymbol{J}$ denote mass density, specific entropy, dissipative function, and entropy flux, respectively. In our previous theory[10], we showed that the equations of motion can be derived from actions with the aid of Eq. (28). In this section, we use the symmetries and the second law of thermodynamics to obtain the dissipative function Θ, and moreover we determine entropy flux $\boldsymbol{J}$ by considering the well-posedness of physical system.

First we show the simple example of deriving Eq. (28) in a Newtonian fluid by using symmetries and the second law in Sec. 4.1. Next, we discuss the fluids with interfaces in Sec. 4.2. We give the details in the case of a one-component fluid, a two-component fluid, and a chiral liquid crystal.

4.1 Newtonian fluid

We write $\boldsymbol{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ for the initial position of a fluid particle. By the definition, the material derivative $D_t \equiv \partial_t + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla$ of A_i is zero:

$$\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla A_i = 0. \tag{29}$$

Here and below, Roman indexes run from 1 to 3. In terms of the control theory, Eq. (29) shows that the velocity field $\boldsymbol{v}$ controls the state $\boldsymbol{A}$. Mass density obeys the mass conservation law:

$$\rho(t, \boldsymbol{x}) - \rho_{\rm init} J^{-1} = 0, \tag{30}$$

where $\rho_{\rm init}$ is the initial mass density and $J^{-1} \equiv \partial(A_1, A_2, A_3)/\partial(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 0$ denotes the contraction percentage of a fluid particle. This conservation law (30) is required for the Lagrangian of Newtonian fluid to be invariant under Galilean transformation[25] as discussed in Sec. 3.3. The time derivative of Eq. (30) yields

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{v}) = 0, \tag{31}$$

with the aid of Eq. (29). See the details in App. C. We assume the local thermal equilibration, and write s for specific entropy, i.e., entropy density per unit of mass. Then, the dynamics is described by the trajectory in the space $(\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), t)$. The nonholonomic constraint of entropy is given in the differential form of $ds, dA_i, \partial_i dA_j$, and dt. Here we write ∂_j for $\partial/\partial x_j$. Let us give it as

$$T\rho ds + M_i dX_i + \sigma_{ij} \partial_i (dX_j) + Q dt = 0. \tag{32}$$

Here dX_j and $\partial_i(dX_j)$ are short-hand notations for

$$dX_j = -\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} dA_i \text{ and } \partial_i dX_j = -\partial_i \left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_k} dA_k \right), \tag{33}$$

respectively. The higher differentiations of $\boldsymbol{X}$ are not required in the Newtonian fluid. If we consider the fluids with interfaces, these higher differentiations are required as we will explain in Sec. 4.2. The coefficients M_j, σ_{ij}, and Q are determined to be consist with symmetries and the second law of thermodynamics. Space translation symmetry is given by $(g_s, g_{A_i}, g_t) = (\partial_j s, \partial_j A_i, 0)$, i.e.,

$$\phi'(\boldsymbol{x}', t) = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \text{ where } \boldsymbol{x}' = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tag{34}$$

and ϕ denotes s and A_i. It turns Eq. (32) into

$$T\rho \partial_j s - M_j = 0, \tag{35}$$

with the aid of Eq. (33). The infinitesimal rotational translation is given by $(g_s, g_{A_i}, g_t) = (\epsilon_{jkl} x_k \partial_l s, \epsilon_{jkl} x_k \partial_l A_i, 0)$, i.e.,

$$\phi'(\boldsymbol{x}', t) = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \text{ where } \boldsymbol{x}' = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{x}. \tag{36}$$

Here, ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita symbol. It proves σ to be a symmetric tensor σ^S:

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{jk} = 0, \tag{37}$$

with the aid of Eqs. (32) and (33). Time translation, $(g_s, g_{A_i}, g_t) = (\partial_t s, \partial_t A_i, 1)$ yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho s) = \frac{1}{T} \sigma^S_{ij} e_{ij} - \nabla \cdot (\rho s \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{Q}{T}, \tag{38}$$

with the aid of Eqs. (29), (31), (32), (35), and (37). Here e_{ij} denotes the strain rate tensor:

$$e_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_j v_i + \partial_i v_j \right). \tag{39}$$

Using the same procedure in Eq. (21), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{H} + \partial_j \left[\left\{ (\mathcal{H} + P)\delta_{ij} + \sigma^S_{ij} \right\} v_i \right] + Q = 0. \quad (40)$$

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and P denote the total energy density and pressure, respectively. See the details in App. D. To satisfies energy conservation law, Q should be given in this form,

$$Q = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_q, \quad (41)$$

where $\boldsymbol{J}_q$ is considered as heat flux. We can rewrite Eq. (38) in the form of Eq. (28). The dissipative function Θ and entropy flux $\boldsymbol{J}$ are respectively given by

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{T}\sigma^S_{ij} e_{ij} + \boldsymbol{J}_q \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) > 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \rho s \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\boldsymbol{J}_q}{T}. \quad (43)$$

The dissipative function Θ denotes the entropy production rate, and should be positive because of the second law. Considering Θ is zero in a equilibrium state, Θ is written as Eq. (25) where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \equiv (\boldsymbol{v}, \nabla T)$. Low approximation of Θ is given in the quadric form, and thus σ and $\boldsymbol{J}_q$ are given by linear combination of e_{ij} and ∇T,

$$\sigma^S_{ij} = 2\zeta_{\rm s} e_{ij} + (\zeta_{\rm b} - 2\zeta_{\rm s}/3)\delta_{ij} e_{kk}, \quad (44)$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}_q = -\lambda \nabla T, \quad (45)$$

where $\zeta_{\rm s}$, $\zeta_{\rm b}$ and λ are the coefficients of shear and bulk viscosities, and thermal conductivity, respectively. Here, we ignore the cross terms between e_{ij} and ∇T. All the coefficients $\zeta_{\rm s}$, $\zeta_{\rm b}$ and λ are positive because of Eq. (42).

Finally we obtain the equation of entropy (28) from the symmetries, and the second law without knowing the exact Lagrangian. The equation of motion can be derived by minimizing an action with subject to the constraint of entropy. See the details in App. D.

4.2 Interface energy

The new point in this section is the determination of the entropy flux $\boldsymbol{J}$ related to interface energy. We show the details in the case of vaporization, dissolution, and the rotation of a chiral liquid crystals.

4.2.1 Vaporization in a one-component fluid

The mass density ρ jumps from high to low at liquid-gas interface. Thus the interface energy is defined as an excessive internal energy per unit area induced by the density gradient in the interface zone[2]. The total internal energy is given by the sum of the bulk internal energy $\rho\epsilon(\rho, s)$ and the interface internal energy $E(\rho, \nabla\rho)$:

$$\rho\epsilon + E. \quad (46)$$

The interface energy E is determined by the intermolecular forces, and then scarcely depends on the entropy[26]. The Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \rho \left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 - \epsilon \right) - E. \quad (47)$$

The action is given by the integral of Eq. (47) over the considered space and time. The equations of motion is derived from the necessary condition of minimizing the action with subject to the constraints (29), (30) and the constraint for entropy. The derivative of the interface internal energy $E(\rho, \nabla\rho)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} d\rho + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla\rho} \cdot d(\nabla\rho) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla\rho} \right) \right\} d\rho + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla\rho} d\rho \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

The last term in the second line of Eq. (48),

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla\rho} d\rho \right), \quad (49)$$

does not vanish by itself. We cannot impose any boundary condition on the mass density ρ to cancel Eq. (49), because the density only satisfy a pure transport Eq. (31). If we impose an excessive boundary condition artificially, it will violate the well-posedness of the system, i.e., the existence of the solution in this case. Note that the terminology "boundary condition" does not mean the liquid-gas interface. As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, the liquid-gas interface are expressed by the area where the mass density ρ jumps from high to low.

Without loss of generality, we can give the constraint of entropy in the form of

$$T\rho ds + M_i dX_i + \sigma_{ij}\partial_i(dX_j) + Q dt + R = 0. \quad (50)$$

The coefficients, M_i, σ_{ij}, and Q are respectively given by Eqs. (35), (37), and (41) for the consistency of the symmetries. The new one-form R is determined so as to cancel Eq. (49) in the calculus of the necessary condition of minimizing action without breaking the symmetries. With the aid of the second law, Eqs. (50) yields the equation of entropy in the form of Eq. (28). Using the same procedure, the dissipative function Θ is uniquely given by Eq. (42) by considering Θ is a function of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = (\boldsymbol{v}, \nabla T)$. Thus the one-form R has to relate only to the entropy flux,

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \rho s \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\boldsymbol{J}_q}{T} + \boldsymbol{J}_s. \tag{51}$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{J}_s$ is the entropy flux derived from R. We find that if $\boldsymbol{J}_s$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{J}_s = \frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \rho} D_t \rho, \tag{52}$$

the surface term (49) is canceled in the variational calculus without breaking the symmetries. Let us make sure of it. Replacing the time derivative ∂_t by d of $T\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_s$ whose $\boldsymbol{J}_s$ is Eq. (52) yields

$$R \equiv T\nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \rho} \left(d\rho + (\nabla \rho) \cdot d\boldsymbol{X} \right) \right\}, \tag{53}$$

with the aid of Eq. (29). The term $T\nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \rho} d\rho \right\}$ in Eq. (53) cancels the surface term (49). Next, replacing d by ∂_i make it vanish because this replacement of $d\rho + (\partial_j \rho) dX_j$ yields $(\partial_i - \delta_{ij}\partial_j)\rho = 0$ with the aid of Eq. (33). Thus Eq.(66) has the space translation symmetry. Similarly, it also has the rotational symmetry too. Thus we find out that Eq. (52) is appropriate for the Lagrangian (47), and obtain the equation of motion,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho v_i) + \partial_j \left(\rho v_i v_j + \Pi_{ij} + \sigma^S_{ij} \right) - \gamma_i = 0. \tag{54}$$

Here we write γ_i and Π_{ij} respectively for

$$\gamma_i \equiv \frac{\partial_j T}{T}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_i \rho}\partial_j \rho - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho}\partial_i \rho \right), \tag{55}$$

$$\Pi_{ij} \equiv \left\{ P - \rho T \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \rho} \right) + \rho \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} - E \right\} \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_i \rho}\partial_j \rho. \tag{56}$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ vanishes on the condition of satisfying the chiral (mirror) symmetry,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho}\partial_k \rho = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_k \rho}\partial_j \rho. \tag{57}$$

See the details in App. E. If we define the interface internal energy as $E = (K|\nabla \rho|^2)/2$, where K is a function of ρ, Eq. (52) is equivalent to the theory which Onuki named "The Dynamic van der Waals model"[27, 28]. The entropy flux (52) caused along with the moving interface is related to the latent heat transfer across the liquid-gas interface. For example, suppose that a drop of water shrinks by vaporization, and then its surface area also decreases. The entropy of liquid area transfers to gas area across the interface. This motion involves the energy transfer, because the increasing and decreasing of entropy causes the ones of the internal energy.

In the microscopic view, the high energy liquid molecules can go into the gas phase only through the surface of the drop, and take energy out of the surrounding molecules. Thus vaporization takes latent heat, i.e., entropy from the liquid phase to the gas phase. The energy required to free a molecule from the liquid is equivalent to the energy needed to break the molecular bonds and keep molecules away from surface. Therefore, the intermolecular forces that determine the interface internal energy of a substance are the same as those that determine its latent heat and boiling point[26].

4.2.2 Dissolution in a two-component fluid

We consider a two-component fluid composed of two substances: solute and solvent. The mass densities ρ_{solute} and ρ_{solvent} are changed by the mass average velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$, and the diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}_c$, as

$$\partial_t \rho_c + \nabla \cdot (\rho_c \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{j}_c) = 0, \tag{58}$$

where c denotes solute or solvent. The diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}_c$ denotes the amount of the component transported by diffusion through unit area in unit time. Note that the diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}_c$ satisfies $\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{solute}} = -\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{solvent}}$ because the sum of each mass conservation law (58) yields Eq. (31), where $\rho \equiv \rho_{\text{solute}} + \rho_{\text{solvent}}$ is the

total mass density. Hereafter we write $\boldsymbol{j}$ for $\boldsymbol{j}_{\rm solute}$. The diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}$ describes the relative motion of the solute and the solvent. The conservation laws (58) are rewritten into the set of Eq. (30) and the diffusion equation of the solute,

$$\rho D_t \psi + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j} = 0, \tag{59}$$

where $\psi \equiv \rho_a/\rho$ is the mass fraction of the solute. Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ be the amount of the solute flowing through the unit interface of a fluid particle, i.e., $D_t a_i - j_j J_i^j = 0$, where J_i^j is the cofactor of $\partial x_j/\partial A_i$. We can rewrite it into

$$(D_t a_i) J^{-1} - \boldsymbol{j} \cdot \nabla A_i = 0. \tag{60}$$

We also fix the value of $\boldsymbol{a}$ at the boundary. The derivative of the interface energy density $E(\rho, \psi, \nabla\psi)$ yields

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \psi} d\psi \right), \tag{61}$$

with the aid of integration by parts as same as Eq. (49). The constraint of entropy is given in the form of

$$T\rho ds + M_i dX_i + \sigma_{ij} \partial_i (dX_j) + \nu_i da_i + Q dt + R = 0. \tag{62}$$

Using the same procedure in Sec. 4.2.1, we obtain $M_i = T\rho\partial_i s + \nu_j \partial_i a_j$ by the space translate symmetry, and Eqs. (37) and (41) for σ_{ij}, and Q by the rotational symmetry and the time translate symmetry, respectively. By the second law, Eq. (62) can be rewritten in the form of Eq. (28). The dissipative function Θ is given by

$$\Theta = \frac{\sigma_{ij}^S e_{ij} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}}{T} + \boldsymbol{J}_q \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{T} \right). \tag{63}$$

Here, we use Eq. (60) and define $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ as $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \equiv J\nu_i \nabla A_i$. In the low degree approximation, Eq. (63) is given by the quadratic form of e_{ij}, $\boldsymbol{j}$, and ∇T. Without loss of generality, we have

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \xi \boldsymbol{j} + \eta \nabla T, \tag{64}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J_q} = -\eta T \boldsymbol{j} - \lambda \nabla T, \tag{65}$$

where ξ is the coefficient of friction for the diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}$, and λ is the coefficient of thermal conductivity as in § 58 of [29]. The coefficient η in Eq. (64) expresses the Soret effect describing the flow of the solute induced by a temperature gradient. On the other hand, the coefficient η in Eq. (65) shows the Dufour effect describing the energy flux due to the diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}$ occurring[24]. On the other hand, the entropy flux $\boldsymbol{J}$ is given by Eq. (51), where

$$\boldsymbol{J}_s = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \psi} D_t \psi \tag{66}$$

is determined to cancel Eq. (61). Because $\partial E/\partial \nabla\psi$ takes large absolute value at the interface, and $D_t\psi$ expresses the moving of the interface, Eq. (66) shows that entropy flux occurs with accompanying the moving interface, which is related to the heat of dissolution[26].

Then, by using the variational principle with the constraint of entropy, we obtain the equations of motion for the mass average velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$, and the diffusion flux $\boldsymbol{j}$. The former is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho v_i) + \partial_j \left(\rho v_i v_j + \Pi_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}^S \right) + \gamma_i = 0, \tag{67}$$

where we write γ_i and Π_{ij} for

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\partial_j T}{T} \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_i \psi} \partial_j \psi - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \psi} \partial_i \psi \right), \tag{68}$$

$$\Pi_{ij} = \left(P + \rho \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} - E \right) \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_i \psi} \partial_j \psi. \tag{69}$$

The equation (68) vanishes if the interface energy E has the chiral (mirror) symmetry, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_i \psi} \partial_j \psi = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \psi} \partial_i \psi. \tag{70}$$

as same as Eq. (57). The latter is

$$L_{\boldsymbol{v}}^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\psi} + \frac{1}{1-\psi} \right) \boldsymbol{j} \right\} = -\nabla \left\{ \mu^* + \frac{1}{2\rho^2} \left(\frac{1}{\psi^2} - \frac{1}{(1-\psi)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{j}^2 \right\} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \tag{71}$$

where $L_{\boldsymbol{v}}^1 \equiv \partial_t + \nabla(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\ ) - \boldsymbol{v} \times \nabla\times$ denotes the convected time derivative for the cotangent vector, and considered as the Lie derivative in mathematics[30],

and μ^* is the generalized chemical potential defined as

$$\mu^* \equiv \mu + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \psi} - \frac{T}{\rho}\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \psi}\right). \quad (72)$$

See the details in App. F. Our variational principle clarifies that the entropy flux (66) caused by the dissolution yields an inhomogeneous temperature field, and it effects on the dissolution via the generalized chemical potential (72). If we ignore an inhomogeneous temperature and heat flux, the equations (67) and (71) are the same of the theories based on free energies[2, 3].

4.2.3 Rotation of a chiral liquid crystal

We write $\boldsymbol{n}$ for the director of a liquid crystals, which is a dimensionless unit vector. The angular velocity $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ denotes the rotation of the director. The material time derivative of $\boldsymbol{n}$ is given by

$$D_t n_i = \omega_i, \quad (73)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is defined as $\boldsymbol{\omega} \equiv \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \boldsymbol{n}$. The equation above expresses a kind of the rigid motion because the norm of $\boldsymbol{n}$ is constant, $|\boldsymbol{n}| = 1$. To simplify the discussion, we neglect the surface energy at first. We modify the nonholonomic constraint (32) as

$$T\rho ds + M_j dX_j + \sigma_{ij}(d\partial_i X_j) + g_i dn_i + Q dt = 0. \quad (74)$$

where the term $g_i dn_i$ is added for the dependency of $\boldsymbol{n}$. We have $M_j = T\rho\partial_j s + g_i \partial_j n_i$ because of the space translation symmetry as in Eq. (35), and the coefficient Q is given by Eq. (41) because of the energy conservation law. We divided σ into symmetric part σ^S and asymmetrical part σ^A. The rotational symmetry yields

$$\epsilon_{ijk}(\sigma^A_{jk} + g_j n_k) = 0. \quad (75)$$

Here we use Eq. (36) and the rotational transformation for vector,

$$n'_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}') = n_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{n} \text{ where } \boldsymbol{x}' = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{x}. \quad (76)$$

Using the same procedure in Sec. 4.2.1, we can rewrite Eq. (74) in the form of Eq. (28). The entropy flux $\boldsymbol{J}$ and the dissipative function Θ are respectively given by Eq. (43) and

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \frac{1}{T}(\sigma^S_{ij} e_{ij} + \sigma^A_{ij} d_{ij} + g_i \omega_i) + \boldsymbol{J_q} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T}(\sigma^S_{ij} e_{ij} + g_i N_i) + \boldsymbol{J_q} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) > 0 \quad (77)\end{aligned}$$

where e_{ij}, d_{ij} and N_i are respectively defined as Eq. (39),

$$d_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_j v_i - \partial_i v_j\right), \quad (78)$$

and

$$N_i \equiv \omega_i - d_{ij} n_j. \quad (79)$$

We use Eq. (75) at the second line in Eq. (77). The equation (79) expresses the rotation of a director except the rotation of velocity. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{g} &= \xi \boldsymbol{N} + \eta \nabla T, \quad (80)\\ \boldsymbol{J_q} &= \eta T \boldsymbol{N} - \lambda \nabla T, \quad (81)\end{aligned}$$

in the low degree approximation as discussed in Eqs. (64) and (65). Here, ξ, η, and λ are rotational viscosity, Lehman coefficient, and heat conductivity. Next, let us consider the interface energy $E(\nabla\rho, n_i, \partial_j n_i)$. Using the same procedure in Sec. 4.2.1, we obtain the equation of velocity field,

$$\rho\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \boldsymbol{v}^2 - \boldsymbol{v} \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{v})\right\} + \nabla : (\hat{\sigma}^S + \Pi) - \boldsymbol{\gamma} = 0, \quad (82)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, and Π are respectively given by

$$\hat{\sigma}^S_{ij} \equiv \rho \pi_{ki} \partial_j n_k + \sigma^S_{ij}, \quad (83)$$

and Eqs. (55) and (56). See the details in App. G. Yoshioka et al. found the rotation of a chiral LC caused by a concave-convex surface[31]. This experiment suggests that another type of heat-driven unidirectional motion occurs through an essentially different mechanism from the Lehmann. This phenomenon can be expressed as the following. Suppose the surface energy E includes the term, which do not satisfy Eq. (57), such as $(K_2 + K_{24})\nabla\rho \cdot \{\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{n} \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{n})\}$ where K_2 and K_{24} are respectively twist and saddle-splay elastic constants[32]. The equations (55) does

not vanish: $\gamma \neq 0$, and thus the gradient temperature ∇T on the surface yields a rotation of the velocity field (82), while the Lehman effect yields the one of the director.

5 Summary

Our method is based on the two principles. The first one is the variational principle, stating that the realized motion minimizing an action. The second one is the second law of thermodynamics, i.e., the entropy of isolated systems increase. The equations of motion for fluids can be derived by the stationary condition of the action with subject to the equation of entropy[10]. We give the equation of entropy by using only few requirements in our theory, including symmetries and well-posedness, in addition to the second law. The resultant equations derived from our method automatically satisfy the conservation laws associated with the symmetries because of the generalized Noether's theorem in Sec. 3.1. The variational formalization should have a unique weak solution within a certain class of functions with boundary conditions, because of the well-posedness for the physical systems. For the cases of the fluids with interface, it requires to cancel all the surface terms yielding the excessive boundary conditions as we discussed in Sec. 4.2. This clarifies that moving interfaces generate entropy fluxes, i.e., induce inhomogeneous temperature fields, and then the dynamics of fluids are affected by them. In the case of vaporization and dissolution, we clarify the reaction between the entropy flux and these phenomena connected by interface energy. We also give a new equation of a chiral liquid crystal explaining its rotation caused by heat, which is totally different from the well-known Lehman effect. While the original Lehman effect is about the rotation of the director, our new theory explains the rotation of the velocity field originated from interface energy. The novelty of this article lies in the self-consistent derivation of the system for inhomogeneous materials which involve multiple physical effects from multiple scales, taking into account of various competitions and couplings.

Acknowledgments

The work had started when Fukagawa visited Department of Mathematics in Penn State University during the period from November 2013 to June 2014. H. Fukagawa and C. Liu want to acknowledge the partial support of the National Science Foundation (USA) grants DMS-1109107, DMS-1216938 and DMS-1159937. H. Fukagawa and T. Tsuji gratefully acknowledge the support of the International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research (WPI-I2CNER), sponsored by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan, and appreciate the support of the SATREPS project by JICA-JST. H. Fukagawa appreciates Youhei Fujitani for advices, Billy D. Jones for valuable comments about the symmetries, Shogo Tanimura, Shin-itiro Goto, and Jun Yoshioka for the discussions about variational principle, Noether's theorem, and LCs, respectively.

A Variational principle

We can rewrite the action (3) into $\int_C \Xi$, where the integral curve and the integrand are respectively given by $C \equiv [\boldsymbol{r}(t)|t_{\rm init} \leqq t \leqq t_{\rm fin}]$ and $\Xi(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \equiv p_i dq_i - \tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{r})dt$. Let C and C_α be an optimized trajectory and another trajectory, respectively, such that each endpoint is connected. Using Stokes' theorem, we find that

$$\oint_{C-C_\alpha} \Xi = \iint_{S_\alpha} d\Xi = 0 \tag{84}$$

is satisfied, where S_α is the integral area such that $\partial S_\alpha = C - C_\alpha$ and $d\Xi = dp \wedge dq - d\tilde{H} \wedge dt$. Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\tilde{H}} = \boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ be the vector field for $\frac{d\boldsymbol{r}(t)}{dt}$. Here, $\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}}$ denotes $\frac{dq}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \frac{dp}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \frac{ds}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{du}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. Provided S_α is small, Eq. (84) is equivalent to

$$d\Xi(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\tilde{H}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_\alpha) = 0. \tag{85}$$

for the corresponding vector field $\tilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_\alpha$. Thus the necessary condition of minimizing the action (3) is given by

$$\boldsymbol{0} = d\Xi(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\tilde{H}}, \ )$$

$$= dp\wedge dq(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\tilde{H}},\ )-(\iota_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\tilde{H}}}d\tilde{H})dt+d\tilde{H}\iota_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\tilde{H}}}dt$$
$$= dp\wedge dq(\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}},\ )-(\iota_{\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}}}d\tilde{H})dt+d\tilde{H}. \quad (86)$$

Here d is the exterior derivative, and $\iota_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ denotes the interior product of $\boldsymbol{X}$. Thus if $\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}}$ satisfies

$$dp\wedge dq(\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}},\ )+d\tilde{H} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad (87)$$
$$\iota_{\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}}}d\tilde{H} = 0, \quad (88)$$

it holds Eq. (84). With the aid of Eq. (1), we obtain Eq. (5). If Eq. (87) is satisfied, Eq. (88) is automatically valid: $\iota_{\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}}}d\tilde{H} = -dp\wedge dq(\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}},\boldsymbol{X}_{\tilde{H}}) = 0$.

B Noether's theorem

Consider the necessary condition of the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_G}$ of $d\Xi^* = dp\wedge dq - dH\wedge dt$ is zero:

$$\boldsymbol{0} = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_G}d\Xi^* = d\iota_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_G}d\Xi^*. \quad (89)$$

Here H is the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (10), and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_G \equiv \boldsymbol{X}_G + g_t\partial_t$ is the infinitesimal translation. The equation (89) is satisfied if $\iota_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_G}d\Xi^*$ is a exact form,

$$\iota_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_G}d\Xi^* = \iota_{\boldsymbol{X}_G}(dp_i\wedge dq_i)-(\iota_{\boldsymbol{X}_G}dH)dt+(dH)g_t = -dG \quad (90)$$

where G is a function sometimes called moment map. Thus we have

$$\boldsymbol{0} = \left(g_{p_i}+g_t\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}\right)dq_i-\left(g_{q_i}-g_t\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\right)dp_i + g_t\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}ds-(\boldsymbol{X}_G H)dt+dG, \quad (91)$$

where $g_{q_i}, g_{p_i}g_s$ are the components of $\boldsymbol{X}_G$. With the aid of Eq. (1), we obtain Eq. (16) from Eq. (91)

C Mass conservation law

Calculating by means of the cofactors yields $\frac{\partial J^{-1}}{\partial(A_i/x_j)} = J^{-1}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}$, while some algebra yields $\partial_j\left(J^{-1}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}\right) = 0$. Then we have

$$dJ^{-1} = J^{-1}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}\frac{\partial dA_i}{\partial x_j} = \partial_j\left(J^{-1}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}dA_i\right). \quad (92)$$

Because the initial mass density $\rho_{\rm init}$ is the function of A_i, then we have

$$d\rho_{\rm init} = \frac{\partial\rho_{\rm init}}{\partial A_i}dA_i = \frac{\partial\rho_{\rm init}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}dA_i. \quad (93)$$

From Eqs. (92) and (93), we obtain

$$d\left(\rho_{\rm init}J^{-1}\right) = \frac{\partial\rho_{\rm init}}{\partial A_i}dA_iJ^{-1}+\rho_{\rm init}dJ^{-1} = \partial_j\left(\rho\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}dA_i\right), \quad (94)$$

and then we can rewrite (30) into

$$d\rho-\partial_j\left(\rho\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i}dA_i\right) = 0. \quad (95)$$

Replacing d by $\partial/\partial t$, Eq. (95) yields the well known mass conservation law (31) with the aid of Eq. (29).

D The Navier Stokes equations

The specific internal energy ϵ is given by the function of the mass density ρ and specific entropy s. Then we have $d\epsilon = -Pd\rho^{-1}+Tds$. Pressure P and temperature T are respectively given by

$$P \equiv -\left(\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\rho^{-1}}\right)_s = \rho^2\left(\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\rho}\right)_s \text{ and } T \equiv \left(\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial s}\right)_\rho \quad (96)$$

where the subscripts $_s$ and $_\rho$ indicate variables fixed in the respective partial differentiations. The Lagrangian density is given by the kinetic energy minus inertial energy:

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho,\boldsymbol{v},s) \equiv \rho\left\{\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2-\epsilon(\rho,s)\right\}, \quad (97)$$

where $\rho\boldsymbol{v}^2/2$ is the kinetic energy density. With the aid of Eqs. (29) and (30), the modified Lagrangian is given by the integral over the considered space V,

$$\tilde{L} \equiv \int_V d^3\boldsymbol{x}\left\{\mathcal{L}+K\left(\rho-\rho_{\rm init}J^{-1}\right)+\beta_i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A_i+\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla A_i\right)\right\}, \quad (98)$$

with using undetermined multipliers, K and β_i. The Lagrangian (98) has the translation symmetries in time and space because it does not depend on time

and space explicitly, has rotational symmetry because all the terms in Eq. (97) are scalars, and has Galilean symmetry because of mass conservation law, similarly in Sec. 3.3. The action is given by the integral of Eq. (98). Using Stokes' theorem (84), we have

$$\int_V dV \Bigg[(\rho \boldsymbol{v} + \beta_j \nabla A_j) \cdot d\boldsymbol{v} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 - h + K \right) d\rho + \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial t} + \partial_j (\beta_i v_j) \right) - (\rho \partial_j K - \partial_k \sigma_{jk} - T\rho \partial_j s) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} \right\} dA_i + \left(\rho - \rho_{\rm init} J^{-1} \right) dK + (\partial_t A_i + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla A_i) d\beta_i + \partial_k \left\{ \left(\beta_i v_k + \sigma_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} + \rho K \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial A_i} \right) dA_i \right\} \Bigg], \quad (99)$$

with the aid of Eq. (32). The last line in Eq. (99) is the surface term yielding a boundary condition. Then, we obtain Eqs. (29), (30) and the followings,

$$d\boldsymbol{v} \ : \ \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\beta_j}{\rho} \nabla A_j = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad (100)$$

$$d\rho \ : \ \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 - h + K = 0, \quad (101)$$

$$dA_i \ : \ \rho D_t \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\rho} \right) - (\rho \partial_j K - \partial_k \sigma_{jk} - \rho T \partial_j s) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} = 0. \quad (102)$$

Here h is enthalpy, defined as $\epsilon + p/\rho$. The Lie derivative L_v^1 of Eq. (100) yields

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{v}^2 - \boldsymbol{v} \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{v}) + D_t \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\rho} \right) \nabla A_i = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad (103)$$

with the aid of Eq. (29)[11, 30]. Substituting Eq. (102) into Eq. (103), we obtain the equation of motion,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_j) + \partial_k (\rho v_j v_k + P\delta_{jk} + \sigma_{jk}) = 0. \quad (104)$$

Using the same procedure in Sec. 3.1, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\beta_i \partial_t A_i - \mathcal{L}) + \partial_j \left\{ \beta_i v_j \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} + (\rho K \delta_{jk} - \sigma_{jk}) \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial A_i} \partial_t A_i \right\} + Q = 0, \quad (105)$$

and Eq. (40) with the aid of Eq. (99).

E The equation for vaporization

The action is given by the integral of the Lagrangian (47) over the considered time and space. The stationary condition of the action with subject to Eqs. (29), (30), and (50) yields the sum of Eq. (99) and

$$\left\{ -\frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} + T\partial_j \left(\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho} \right) \right\} d\rho - \frac{(\partial_j T)}{T} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho} (\partial_k \rho) dX_k + \partial_j \left\{ \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho} (\partial_k \rho) dX_k \right\}, \quad (106)$$

Thus the optimized trajectory satisfies Eqs. (29), (30), (100), and the followings

$$d\rho : \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 - h - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} + T\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \nabla \rho} \right) + K = 0, \quad (107)$$

$$dA_i : \rho D_t \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\rho} \right) - \{ \rho(\partial_j K - T\partial_j s) - \partial_k \sigma_{jk} - \frac{(\partial_k T)}{T} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho} \partial_k \rho \Big\} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} = 0. \quad (108)$$

Then we have Eq. (54) with the aid of the identity

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} - \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho} \right) \right\} \partial_k \rho = \partial_j \left(E\delta_{jk} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \partial_j \rho} \partial_k \rho \right). \quad (109)$$

F The equation for dissolution

We have $d\epsilon = -Pd\rho^{-1} + \mu d\psi + Tds$ in the thermodynamics. Pressure P and temperature T are defined as same as Eq. (96). The coefficient $\mu \equiv (\partial\epsilon/\partial\psi)_{s,\psi}$ is an appropriately defined chemical potential of mixture, $\mu = \mu_{\rm solute}/m_{\rm solute} - \mu_{\rm solvent}/m_{\rm solvent}$, where $\mu_{\rm solute}$ and $\mu_{\rm solvent}$ are the chemical potentials of the two substances, and $m_{\rm solute}$ and $m_{\rm solvent}$ are the masses of the two kinds of the particles as in Sec. 58 of Ref. [29]. The kinetic energy density is given by $\sum_c \frac{1}{2}\rho_c \boldsymbol{v}_c^2$, where $\boldsymbol{v}_c$ is defined as $\boldsymbol{v}_c = (\rho_c \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{j}_c)/\rho$. We can rewrite it into

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho \boldsymbol{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\psi} + \frac{1}{1-\psi} \right) \boldsymbol{j}^2. \quad (110)$$

Thus the total kinetic energy density (110) is given by the sum of the kinetic energies associated to the

mass average velocity and the diffusion fluxes of the solute and the solvent. The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \rho\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\psi}+\frac{1}{1-\psi}\right)\boldsymbol{j}^2 - (\rho\epsilon + E)\,. \quad (111)$$

We use the same procedure in Sec. 4.2.1. The Lagrangian to be minimized is the sum of Eq. (98) and

$$\gamma(\rho D_t\psi + \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{j}) + b_i\left\{(D_t a_i)J^{-1} - \boldsymbol{j}\nabla A_i\right\} = 0., \quad (112)$$

where γ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ are undetermined multipliers. Solving the stationary condition with subject to the nonholonomic condition (62) yields Eqs. (29), (30), (100), and the followings

$$\begin{aligned}
dv &: \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\beta_j}{\rho}\nabla A_j + \gamma\nabla\psi + \frac{b_j}{\rho_{\rm init}}\nabla a_j = \boldsymbol{0}, & (113)\\
d\rho &: \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 - h - \frac{\partial E}{\partial\rho} + K \\
&\quad +\gamma D_t\psi - \frac{1}{2\rho^2}\left(\frac{1}{\psi}+\frac{1}{1-\psi}\right)\boldsymbol{j}^2 = 0, & (114)\\
dA_i &: \rho D_t\left(\frac{\beta_i}{\rho}\right) - \{\rho(\partial_j K - T\partial_j s) - \nu_k\partial_j a_k \\
&\quad -\frac{\partial_k T}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial\partial_j\psi}\partial_k\psi - \partial_k\sigma_{jk}\Big\}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} = 0, & (115)\\
dj &: \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\psi}+\frac{1}{1-\psi}\right)\boldsymbol{j} - \nabla\gamma - b_i\nabla A_i = 0, & (116)\\
d\psi &: \rho\mu + \frac{\partial E}{\partial\psi} - T\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial\nabla\psi}\right) + \rho D_t\gamma \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\psi^2} - \frac{1}{(1-\psi)^2}\right)\boldsymbol{j}^2 = 0, & (117)\\
d\boldsymbol{a} &: D_t\left(\frac{b_i}{\rho_{\rm init}}\right) + \frac{\nu_i}{\rho} = 0 & (118)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use $J^{-1} = \rho/\rho_{\rm init}$ and Eq. (30). We have Eq. (67) from Eqs. (113)–(115), and Eq. (71) from Eqs. (116)–(118).

G The equation of a chiral LC

The total kinetic energy destiny is given by the sum of momentum energy $\rho\boldsymbol{v}^2/2$, and angular momentum energy per mass, $\rho(\boldsymbol{\omega}^t I\boldsymbol{\omega})/2$. Here I_{ij} is the molecular moment of inertia, and promotional to δ_{ij}. The total energy is given by the sum of the bulk internal energy $\rho\epsilon(\rho, s, n_i, \partial_j n_i)$ and the surface energy $E(\nabla\rho, n_i, \partial_j n_i)$. The Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \rho\left\{\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega}^t I\boldsymbol{\omega} - \epsilon\right\} - E, \quad (119)$$

which satisfies the time and space translation symmetries, and the rotational symmetry. The modified Lagrangian density is given by the sum of Eq. (98) and

$$\lambda_i(\partial_t n_i + (\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla)n_i - \omega_i) \quad (120)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is Eq. (119) and λ_i is an undetermined multiplier. The stationary condition of Eq. (120) with subject to the constraint (74) regenerates Eqs. (29), (30), (73), and yield

$$\begin{aligned}
d\boldsymbol{v} &: \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\beta_j}{\rho}\nabla A_j + \frac{\lambda_j}{\rho}\nabla n_j = \boldsymbol{0}, & (121)\\
d\boldsymbol{\omega} &: I_{ij}\omega_j - \frac{\lambda_i}{\rho} = 0, & (122)\\
d\rho &: \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega}^t I\boldsymbol{\omega} - h + K \\
&\quad -\frac{\partial E}{\partial\rho} + T\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial E}{\partial\nabla\rho}\right) = 0, & (123)\\
dA_i &: \rho D_t\left(\frac{\beta_i}{\rho}\right) - \Big\{\rho\partial_j K - \rho T\partial_j s \\
&\quad -\partial_i\sigma_{ij} - g_i\partial_j(\nabla n_i)\Big\}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} = 0, & (124)\\
dn_i &: \partial_j(\rho\pi_{ij}) - \rho D_t\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\rho}\right) + g_i = 0. & (125)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we define l_i and π_{ij} as

$$l_i \equiv \left(\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial n_i}\right)_{\rho,s} \text{ and } \pi_{ij} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial(\partial_i n_j)}\right)_{\rho,s}. \quad (126)$$

The equation (124) becomes

$$\rho D_t\left(\frac{\beta_i}{\rho}\right) + \Big\{\frac{\rho}{2}\partial_j(\boldsymbol{v}^2 + \boldsymbol{\omega}^t I\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \partial_j P + \partial_k\sigma_{jk} - \rho\pi_{lm}\partial_j\partial_l n_m + g_k\partial_j n_k\Big\}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial A_i} = 0, \quad (127)$$

with the aid of Eq. (123) and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_j h &= \partial_j \left(\epsilon + \frac{P}{\rho}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_j P + T\partial_j s + \pi_{lm}\partial_j \partial_l n_m, \quad (128)\end{aligned}$$

We use $d\epsilon = -Pd\rho^{-1} + Tds + l_i dn_i + \pi_{ij} d\partial_i n_j$, where P and T are given as same as Eq. (96). The Lie derivative $L^1_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ of Eq. (121) yields

$$L^1_{\boldsymbol{v}}\boldsymbol{v} + \left(D_t \frac{\beta_i}{\rho}\right)\nabla A_i + L^1_{\boldsymbol{v}}\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\rho}\nabla n_i\right) = 0, \quad (129)$$

with the aid of Eqs. (29) and (73). Using Eqs. (122) and (125), we can rewrite $L^1_{\boldsymbol{v}}\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\rho}\nabla n_i\right)$ into

$$\begin{aligned}& D_t\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\rho}\right)\nabla n_i + \frac{\lambda_i}{\rho}\nabla(D_t n_i) \\ =\ & \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\partial_j(\rho\pi_{ij}) + g_i\right)\nabla n_i - \frac{1}{2}\nabla(\boldsymbol{\omega}^t I \boldsymbol{\omega}) \quad (130)\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain Eq. (82) from Eqs. (129) and (130). The material derivative D_t of Eq. (122) yields the equation of the angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ of a director,

$$\rho I_{ij}(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla)\omega_j - \partial_j\left(\rho\pi_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial(\partial_i n_j)}\right) + l_i - \rho g_i = 0. \quad (131)$$

If we neglect the effect of surface energy E, the set of Eqs. (82) and (131) is exactly the Ericksen-Leslie equations. From Eqs. (80) and (131), the term $\eta\nabla T$ induce the Lehmann effect in a chiral LC, namely the rotation of the director with the helical axis parallel to the heat current[8, 9, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

References

[1] Clement John Adkins. *Equilibrium thermodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, 1983.

[2] J.D. van der Waals. The thermodynamic theory of capillarity flow under the hypothesis of a continuous variation in density. *Verhandel. Konink. Akad. Weten. Amsterdam*, 1:1–56, 1893.

[3] John W Cahn and John E Hilliard. Free energy of a nonuniform system. i. interfacial free energy. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 28(2):258–267, 1958.

[4] Masao Doi. Gel dynamics. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 78(5):052001, 2009.

[5] V.B. Lisin and A.I. Potapov. Variational principle in the mechanics of liquid crystals. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 32(1):55 – 62, 1997.

[6] V.B. Lisin and A.I. Potapov. A variational method of deriving the equations of the nonlinear mechanics of liquid crystals. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 63(2):327 – 332, 1999.

[7] Yuri Obukhov, Tomás Ramos, and Guillermo Rubilar. Relativistic lagrangian model of a nematic liquid crystal interacting with an electromagnetic field. *Phys. Rev. E*, 86:031703, Sep 2012.

[8] F. M. Leslie. Some thermal effects in cholesteric liquid crystals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 307(1490):359–372, 1968.

[9] Pierre-Gilles De Gennes and Jacques Prost. *The physics of liquid crystals*, volume 23. Clarendon press Oxford, 1993.

[10] Hiroki Fukagawa and Youhei Fujitani. A variational principle for dissipative fluid dynamics. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 127(5):921–935, 2012.

[11] Hiroki Fukagawa and Youhei Fujitani. Clebsch potentials in the variational principle for a perfect fluid. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 124(3):517–531, 2010.

[12] Hiroki Fukagawa. *Improvements in the Variational Principle for Fluid Dynamics (In Japanese)*. PhD thesis, Keio University, 2012. In Japanese.

[13] Yunkyong Hyon, Do Young Kwak, and Chun Liu. Energetic variational approach in complex fluids: maximum dissipation principle. *DCDS-A*, 24(4):1291–1304, 2010.

[14] Chun Liu. *An introduction of elastic complex fluids: an energetic variational approach*. World Scientific: Singapore, 2009.

[15] B. D. Jones. Navier-Stokes Hamiltonian. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2014.

[16] Kunihiro Aoki. A constraint on the thickness-weighted average equation of motion deduced from energetics. *Journal of Marine Research*, 72(5):355–382, 2014.

[17] Anthony M Bloch. *Nonholonomic mechanics and control*, volume 24. Springer, 2003.

[18] Lev Semenovich Pontryagin. *Mathematical theory of optimal processes*. CRC Press, 1987.

[19] Toshihiro Iwai. A geometric setting for classical molecular dynamics. 1987.

[20] Masuo Suzuki. Irreversibility and entropy production in transport phenomena i. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 390(11):1904 – 1916, 2011.

[21] Masuo Suzuki. Irreversibility and entropy production in transport phenomena, ii: Statistical mechanical theory on steady states including thermal disturbance and energy supply. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 391(4):1074 – 1086, 2012.

[22] Masuo Suzuki. Irreversibility and entropy production in transport phenomena, iii: Principle of minimum integrated entropy production including nonlinear responses. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 392(2):314 – 325, 2013.

[23] Masuo Suzuki. Irreversibility and entropy production in transport phenomena, iv: Symmetry, integrated intermediate processes and separated variational principles for multi-currents. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 392(19):4279 – 4287, 2013.

[24] Lars Onsager. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. i. *Phys. Rev.*, 37:405–426, Feb 1931.

[25] Tsutomu Kambe. Gauge principle and variational formulation for ideal fluids with reference to translation symmetry. *Fluid Dynamics Research*, 39(1):98 – 120, 2007. In memoriam: Professor Isao Imai, 1914-2004.

[26] Jacob N Israelachvili. *Intermolecular and surface forces: revised third edition*. Academic press, 2011.

[27] R. Teshigawara and A. Onuki. Droplet evaporation in one-component fluids: Dynamic van der waals theory. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 84(3):36003, 2008.

[28] Akira Onuki. Dynamic van der waals theory. *Phys. Rev. E*, 75:036304, Mar 2007.

[29] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, J. B. Sykes, and W. H. Reid. *Fluid Mechanics*. Pergamon Press Oxford, England, 1959.

[30] Bernard F. Schutz. *Geometrical methods of mathematical physics*. Cambridge University Press, 1984.

[31] Jun Yoshioka, Fumiya Ito, Yuto Suzuki, Hiroaki Takahashi, Hideaki Takizawa, and Yuka Tabe. Director/barycentric rotation in cholesteric droplets under temperature gradient. *Soft Matter*, 10:5869–5877, 2014.

[32] J. L. Ericksen. Inequalities in liquid crystal theory. *Physics of Fluids*, 9(6), 1966.

[33] P. Oswald and A. Dequidt. Lehmann effect in chiral liquid crystals and langmuir monolayers: an experimental survey. *Liquid Crystals*, 36(10-11):1071–1084, 2009.

[34] Patrick Oswald and Alain Dequidt. Measurement of the continuous lehmann rotation of cholesteric droplets subjected to a temperature

gradient. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:217802, May 2008.

[35] P. Oswald. About the leslie explanation of the lehmann effect in cholesteric liquid crystals. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 97(3):36006, 2012.

[36] P. Oswald and A. Dequidt. Direct measurement of the thermomechanical lehmann coefficient in a compensated cholesteric liquid crystal. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 83(1):16005, 2008.

[37] N. V. Madhusudana and R. Pratibha. An experimental investigation of electromechanical coupling in cholesteric liquid crystals. *Liquid Crystals*, 5(6):1827–1840, 1989.